

Circulation

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION



Drug Therapy in the Heart Transplant Recipient: Part III: Common Medical Problems

JoAnn Lindenfeld, Robert L. Page, II, Ronald Zolty, Simon F. Shakar, Marilyn Levi, Brian Lowes, Eugene E. Wolfel and Geraldine G. Miller

Circulation 2005;111;113-117

DOI: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000151609.60618.3C

Circulation is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75214

Copyright © 2005 American Heart Association. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/111/1/113>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Circulation* is online at
<http://circ.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>

Permissions: Permissions & Rights Desk, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, a division of Wolters Kluwer Health, 351 West Camden Street, Baltimore, MD 21202-2436. Phone: 410-528-4050. Fax: 410-528-8550. E-mail:
journalpermissions@lww.com

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Drug Therapy in the Heart Transplant Recipient Part III: Common Medical Problems

JoAnn Lindenfeld, MD; Robert L. Page II, PharmD; Ronald Zolty, MD; Simon F. Shakar, MD; Marilyn Levi, MD; Brian Lowes, MD; Eugene E. Wolfel, MD; Geraldine G. Miller, MD

Continued improvement in the long-term survival of heart transplant recipients has resulted in a population of patients with prolonged exposure to immunosuppressive drugs.¹ This exposure, coupled with the increasing age of recipients, has resulted in an impressive prevalence of comorbidities in these patients. Indeed, by 5 years after transplantation, 95% of recipients have hypertension, 81% have hyperlipidemia, and 32% have diabetes.¹ In addition, 25% to 50% have coronary allograft vasculopathy (CAV), and up to 33% have chronic renal insufficiency.²⁻⁵ As more drugs are developed to both prevent and treat these problems and common infectious complications after transplantation, it is likely that the heart transplant recipient will be taking an increasing number of drugs. Because standard immunosuppressive drugs have a high potential for drug-drug interactions, the heart transplant recipient is subject to an enormous risk for drug-drug interactions. In this article, we briefly review common medical problems in heart transplant recipients that are routinely addressed with drug therapy. In Part IV of this series, we provide specific details of known important and common drug-drug interactions, along with recommendations for management.

Coronary Allograft Vasculopathy

CAV was described in Part I of this series. The mechanism is incompletely understood but is likely a consequence of both immunologic and nonimmunologic factors.³ CAV is present in 42% of heart transplant recipients at 5 years.³ After the first posttransplantation year, CAV is responsible for ≈20% of all deaths.^{1,6} CAV often involves the coronary arteries in a diffuse fashion, making percutaneous coronary interventions or bypass surgery less effective in many cases. Prognosis remains poor after the development of CAV.⁷ No effective prevention for CAV is available, although statins seem to improve prognosis in heart transplant recipients, at least in part by ameliorating CAV.⁸⁻¹⁰

Hypertension

Hypertension is common after heart transplantation, occurring in 50% to 95% of heart recipients.^{6,11,12} The excess risk of hypertension is attributable primarily to the use of calcineurin inhibitors (CIs) because of both direct effects and the associated renal insufficiency.^{13,14} Although both are CIs, the incidence of hypertension is lower in patients treated with tacrolimus than with cyclosporine A (CSA).^{15,16} No randomized trials in heart transplant recipients are large enough to evaluate the effect of antihypertensive therapy on morbidity, mortality, and graft survival, but it is likely that antihypertensive therapy has similar, if not greater, benefits in the heart transplant recipient than in the general population. One reason is that blood pressure after cardiac transplantation is characterized by a disturbed circadian rhythm without the normal nocturnal blood pressure fall and with a greater 24-hour hypertensive burden.^{11,17,18} Most randomized trials comparing different antihypertensive drugs have been performed in kidney transplant recipients and have not demonstrated the superiority of any drug class.¹⁹⁻²³ A small, prospective, randomized study in heart transplant recipients compared lisinopril with diltiazem for 1 year and revealed no significant difference in blood pressure control, mortality, creatinine, or side effects between the 2 agents.²⁴ Among calcium channel blockers, diltiazem is often used because its inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP450) 3A4 allows a reduction in CI dose and because of reported favorable effects on VAC.²⁵ Posttransplantation hypertension frequently is difficult to control and often requires a combination of several antihypertensive agents.^{24,26} Blood pressure after cardiac transplantation is sensitive to a low sodium diet.²⁷ In the heart transplant recipient, there are important pharmacokinetic interactions with the calcium channel blockers and important pharmacodynamic interactions with ACE inhibitors. Both are discussed in Part IV of this series.

Received March 16, 2004; revision received July 23, 2004; accepted September 30, 2004.

From the Division of Cardiology (J.L., R.Z., S.F.S., B.L., E.E.W.), Center for Women's Health Research (J.L.), Department of Clinical Pharmacy (R.L.P.), and Division of Infectious Diseases (M.L.), University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Denver; Division of Infectious Diseases, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn (G.G.M.); and Division of Cardiology, Denver VA Medical Center, Denver, Colo (S.F.S.).

This is Part III of a 4-part series. Part I appeared in the December 14, 2004, issue of the journal (*Circulation*. 2004;110:3734-3740); Part II appeared in the December 21/28, 2004, issue (*Circulation*. 2004;110:3858-3865); and Part IV will appear in the January 18, 2005, issue.

Correspondence to JoAnn Lindenfeld, MD, Division of Cardiology, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, 4200 E Ninth Ave, B-130, Denver, CO 80262. E-mail joann.lindenfeld@UCHSC.edu

(*Circulation*. 2005;111:113-117.)

© 2005 American Heart Association, Inc.

Circulation is available at <http://www.circulationaha.org>

DOI: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000151609.60618.3C

Hyperlipidemia

Lipid abnormalities are present in 60% to 81% of heart transplant recipients.^{28–30} Hyperlipidemia is thought to play a role in the development of CAV, cerebrovascular disease, and peripheral vascular disease.^{30,31} Characteristically, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, apolipoprotein B, and triglycerides increase by 3 months after transplantation and then generally fall somewhat after the first posttransplantation year.^{8,32,33} Immunosuppressive drugs, loop diuretics, and renal insufficiency all contribute to posttransplantation hyperlipidemia.^{30,32,33} CIs, prednisone, and the target of rapamycin inhibitors sirolimus and everolimus all exacerbate hyperlipidemia.^{33,34} One randomized trial and several nonrandomized studies have demonstrated that tacrolimus has similar, although less marked, effects on cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides as CSA.^{33,35}

The HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) are as effective in reducing LDL cholesterol in heart transplant recipients as in the nontransplant population.^{8,9} Two randomized trials comparing pravastatin (20 to 40 mg) or simvastatin (5 to 20 mg) with placebo in heart transplant recipients have demonstrated benefits of statins on mortality, rejection associated with hemodynamic compromise, and CAV.^{8–10} The benefits of statins in heart transplant recipients have been suggested to be even greater than in the general population and may be due to both cholesterol lowering and immune modulating effects.^{8,29} From these data, statins are routinely prescribed to heart transplant recipients according to guidelines provided in Part IV of this series and other reviews.²⁹ However, there is considerable controversy as to which statin and what doses to use in transplant recipients taking CIs, primarily because of the risk of rhabdomyolysis when these drugs are used together. Rhabdomyolysis was not observed in the 2 randomized trials discussed above using pravastatin and simvastatin.^{8–10} One observational study that compared simvastatin (20 mg/d) with pravastatin (40 mg/d) demonstrated an increased risk of rhabdomyolysis with simvastatin, but another study did not.^{36,37} In general, pravastatin is used at doses of 20 to 40 mg, whereas other statins are used at lower than the maximally approved dose for the nontransplant population. Pravastatin may have a lower incidence of rhabdomyolysis because it is not metabolized by cytochrome enzymes like the other statins.³⁸ The incidence of rhabdomyolysis increases substantially when statins are used in high doses in these patients or when fibrates or niacin is added, and these combinations are generally contraindicated in patients taking CIs.²⁹ If statins cannot be used and bile acid sequestrants are prescribed, care must be taken to separate the timing of administration to prevent the bile acid sequestrants from interfering with the absorption of CSA. Ezetimibe is a reasonable alternative in patients who cannot tolerate statins because it does not cause rhabdomyolysis. However, ezetimibe has not been compared with statins to determine whether it results in equivalent efficacy on rejection, graft atherosclerosis, or mortality. Although elevated triglycerides may be important in the development of CAV, no randomized trials have evaluated triglyceride lowering in these patients.²⁹ Fibrates may decrease CSA levels, and the combination of a statin and a fibrate significantly increases the risk for rhab-

domyolysis.²⁹ The specific mechanisms and magnitude of drug–drug interactions with the lipid-lowering agents are discussed in Part IV of this series.

Diabetes

Diabetes occurs in 32% of heart transplant recipients.⁶ A number of factors, including pretransplantation diabetes, glucocorticoids, and CIs, contribute to the high prevalence of diabetes.³⁹ Tacrolimus is associated with a higher incidence of posttransplantation diabetes than CSA, especially in blacks and when used in higher doses.⁴⁰ Diabetes is associated with a poorer long-term survival in both renal and heart transplant recipients.^{39,41} There are remarkably few data about the treatment of diabetes in the heart transplant recipient and few reports of drug–drug interactions between hypoglycemic and immunosuppressive drugs. Indeed, even a recent consensus guideline on diabetes in transplant patients did not address specific drug therapy.⁴¹ With the increased prevalence of renal insufficiency in heart transplant recipients, one would expect relative contraindications to metformin and fluid retention and weight gain with the thiazolidiones.⁴² Shorter-acting sulfonylureas are preferred over longer-acting sulfonylureas in patients with renal insufficiency.

Chronic Renal Insufficiency

Renal insufficiency is a common adverse effect of CIs, and no effective therapy has been developed to prevent this problem. Creatinine levels >2 mg/dL occur in 24% to 33% of heart transplant recipients at 4 to 5 years after transplantation, and 3% to 8% ultimately develop end-stage renal disease.^{4,5,43,44} It is not known whether ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers are effective in decreasing the progression of CI-induced renal disease. The decrease in glomerular filtration rate after transplantation results in an increased potential for drug–drug interactions with drugs secreted or eliminated by the kidney.

Antiplatelet Therapy

CAV causes as many deaths in years 1 to 3 after transplantation as do infections or rejection and is responsible for 17% of all deaths occurring after the third posttransplantation year.⁶ Routine use of antiplatelet agents, especially aspirin, in cardiac transplant recipients is based on their utility in nontransplant patients with ischemic heart disease, along with data suggesting that enhanced platelet activity may be important in the pathogenesis of CAV.⁴⁵

There are no randomized trials evaluating the benefits of antiplatelet therapy in heart transplant recipients. Animal studies using antiplatelet agents and studies in human heart transplant recipients using warfarin and dipyridamole have shown conflicting results for CAV.^{46–48} Studies suggest that heart transplant recipients appear to be aspirin resistant compared with a nontransplant population even at aspirin doses as high as 500 mg/d.⁴⁹ Evaluation of ticlopidine at a dose of 250 mg BID in 12 patients showed profound suppression of platelet aggregation.⁵⁰ Ticlopidine, however, decreases CSA levels, which can lead to rejection.⁵⁰ Rhabdomyolysis has been reported with clopidogrel.⁵¹ Currently, it remains uncertain whether heart transplant recipients should continue to use standard doses of aspirin, use higher doses of

aspirin, switch to thienopyridines, or abandon the use of antiplatelet agents altogether. Additional interactions between CIs and thienopyridines are discussed in Part IV of this series.

Infection Prophylaxis

Infections cause $\approx 20\%$ of deaths in the first year after transplantation and remain a common cause of morbidity and mortality after the first year.⁶ With the advent of routine prophylaxis, the predominant infections seen in the first month after transplantation are nosocomial bacterial and fungal infections related to mechanical ventilation, catheters, and the surgical site. Before routine use of prophylaxis during periods of increased immunosuppression, reactivation of herpes simplex and infections with opportunistic infections such as *Pneumocystis jiroveci* (*carinii*) (PCP), cytomegalovirus (CMV), *Aspergillus* species, and *Nocardia* species were common.^{52,53} Prophylaxis against CMV, PCP, herpes simplex virus, and oral candidiasis now is used routinely during the first 6 to 12 months after transplantation when the risk of these infections is high. After the initial 6 posttransplantation months, the most common infections are community acquired, and prophylactic antibiotics can generally be discontinued.

Pneumocystis jiroveci (*carinii*)

Before the institution of prophylaxis, PCP was seen in 9% to 11% of all heart transplant recipients, with a mortality rate of 11% to 38%.⁵³ The prophylactic use of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1 double-strength tablet 3 to 7 times per week) has eliminated PCP.^{52,53} This prophylactic regimen is also highly effective for preventing *Nocardia* infection and toxoplasmosis. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis is generally reinstated during episodes of increased risk for PCP such as enhanced immunosuppression with antilymphocyte agents or acute and chronic rejection.⁵⁴ Potential side effects include rash, renal insufficiency, hyperkalemia, and bone marrow suppression.⁵⁵

Fungal Infections

Aspergillosis and *Candida* species are the most common fungal infections after heart transplantation. Nystatin oral solution or clotrimazole troches are routinely used in the first 6 to 12 posttransplantation months or with enhanced immunosuppression to prevent oral candidiasis. In patients who present a higher risk for systemic fungal infections, fluconazole, itraconazole, or occasionally amphotericin-B may be prescribed prophylactically. Voriconazole, fluconazole, and itraconazole have a high potential for drug-drug interactions with CIs and sirolimus and are discussed in detail in Part IV of this series. Caspofungin has not yet been evaluated as prophylactic therapy.

Viral Infections

Viral infections, especially CMV, are a major cause of morbidity and mortality, with an incidence of CMV as high as 24% in CMV IgG-negative recipients of CMV IgG-positive donor hearts (D+/R-).⁵⁶ CMV infection has been associated with CAV, rejection, and enhanced immunosuppression, resulting in additional opportunistic infections such as fungal disease and end-organ disease (eg, pneumonitis, retinitis, and

bone marrow involvement).^{54,56-61} Use of prophylactic intravenous ganciclovir or oral valganciclovir in the CMV-seronegative recipient of a CMV-positive donor has been shown to effectively prevent CMV infection in this high-risk population.^{59,62-64} In addition, preemptive use of oral valganciclovir or intravenous ganciclovir in all transplant recipients with evidence of active CMV viremia on routine monitoring has been shown to prevent symptomatic disease.^{59,63} Ganciclovir may result in bone marrow suppression, and routine complete blood count monitoring is required. Reactivation of herpes simplex virus 1 and 2 and herpes zoster occurs commonly after transplantation, so patients who are seropositive routinely receive prophylaxis with acyclovir, famciclovir, or valacyclovir. In patients taking ganciclovir or valganciclovir for CMV, no additional prophylaxis for herpes simplex virus is necessary.

Gout

The high risk of drug-drug interactions makes gout a particularly vexing therapeutic problem.⁶⁵ Causes of gout after heart transplantation include pretransplantation gout, use of CI, frequent use of loop diuretics, and renal insufficiency.⁶⁶ Because there are significant pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug-drug interactions with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and colchicine, glucocorticoids are often used to treat episodes of acute gout. Colchicine may be used to treat acute gout, but there appears to be an increased risk of colchicine myoneuropathy, which is discussed in more detail in the drug-drug interaction section.⁶⁶ Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs often result in worsening renal insufficiency and hyperkalemia, especially in patients taking CIs. Prophylaxis of recurrent gout with allopurinol is effective, but doses of allopurinol and azathioprine must be reduced significantly when used together, and this combination usually is avoided because of the potential for life-threatening neutropenia.⁶⁷ There is no interaction between mycophenolate mofetil and allopurinol. Uricosuric agents may be effective in some patients.

Osteoporosis

Osteoporosis resulting in vertebral fractures is a common and debilitating problem after heart transplantation. The cause is multifactorial, compounded by the nearly 50% pretransplantation prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in patients with advanced heart failure.^{68,69} Glucocorticoids are the major factor in additional bone loss after transplantation, with contributions from renal insufficiency and CIs. Two years after heart transplantation, as many as 28% of recipients have osteoporosis in the lumbar spine, with vertebral fractures reported in up to 30%.⁷⁰⁻⁷² The risk for fractures is highest in those with osteoporosis, but fractures may develop even in those with normal bone density before transplantation.^{71,72} Most bone loss occurs in the first 6 to 12 months after transplantation when steroid doses are highest.⁷³ Bisphosphonates have been shown to prevent bone loss and fractures in nontransplant patients receiving glucocorticoids.^{74,75} Several, but not all, studies suggest that bisphosphonates can prevent bone loss and fractures after cardiac and liver transplantation.^{69,76,77} Bisphosphonates have a lower risk of hypercalcaemia than calcitriol.⁷⁷ Recommendations for patients receiving

>5 mg/d prednisone for 3 months include calcium (1500 mg/d) and vitamin D (800 IU/d), regular weight-bearing exercise, and a bisphosphonate.^{78,79}

Depression

Depression has been reported in up to 25% of cardiac transplant recipients at 1 to 3 years after transplantation, with most episodes seen in the first year.⁸⁰ There is an 18% prevalence of depression even at 5 and 10 years after transplantation.⁸¹ Thus, a large number of heart transplant recipients are likely to be taking antidepressant drugs. There are substantial differences in the effect of various selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors on CSA levels, as discussed in Part IV of this series.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Paul and Elisabeth Merage Family Fund in Cardiology.

References

- Taylor DO, Edwards LB, Mohacsi PJ, Boucek MM, Trulock EP, Keck BM, Hertz MI. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: twentieth official adult heart transplant report—2003. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2003;22:616–624.
- Uretsky BF, Murali S, Reddy PS, Rabin B, Lee A, Griffith BP, Hardesty RL, Trento A, Bahnson HT. Development of coronary artery disease in cardiac transplant patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy with cyclosporine and prednisone. *Circulation*. 1987;76:827–834.
- Costanzo MR, Naftel DC, Pritzker MR, Heilman JK 3rd, Boehmer JP, Brozna SC, Dec GW, Ventura HO, Kirklin JK, Bourge RC, Miller LW. Heart transplant coronary artery disease detected by coronary angiography: a multiinstitutional study of preoperative donor and recipient risk factors: Cardiac Transplant Research Database. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 1998;17:744–753.
- Hosenpud JD, Bennett LE, Keck BM, Fiol B, Boucek MM, Novick RJ. The Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: sixteenth official report—1999. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 1999;18:611–626.
- van Gelder T, Balk AH, Zietse R, Hesse C, Mochtar B, Weimer W. Survival of heart transplant recipients with cyclosporine-induced renal insufficiency. *Transplant Proc*. 1998;30:1122–1123.
- Hertz MI, Taylor DO, Trulock EP, Boucek MM, Mohacsi PJ, Edwards LB, Keck BM. The registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: nineteenth official report—2002. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2002;21:950–970.
- Benza RL, Zoghbi GJ, Tallaj J, Brown R, Kirklin JK, Hubbard M, Rayburn B, Foley B, McGiffin DC, Pinderski LJ, Misra V, Bourge RC. Palliation of allograft vasculopathy with transluminal angioplasty: a decade of experience. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:1973–1981.
- Kobashigawa JA, Katznelson S, Laks H, Johnson JA, Yeatman L, Wang XM, Chia D, Terasaki PI, Sabad A, Cogert GA, et al. Effect of pravastatin on outcomes after cardiac transplantation. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;333:621–627.
- Wenke K, Meiser B, Thiery J, Nagel D, von Scheidt W, Steinbeck G, Seidel D, Reichart B. Simvastatin reduces graft vessel disease and mortality after heart transplantation: a four-year randomized trial. *Circulation*. 1997;96:1398–1402.
- Wenke K, Meiser B, Thiery J, Nagel D, von Scheidt W, Krobot K, Steinbeck G, Seidel D, Reichart B. Simvastatin initiated early after heart transplantation: 8-year prospective experience. *Circulation*. 2003;107:93–97.
- Starling RC, Cody RJ. Cardiac transplant hypertension. *Am J Cardiol*. 1990;65:106–111.
- Frohlich ED, Ventura HO, Ochsner JL. Arterial hypertension after orthotopic cardiac transplantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1990;15:1102–1103.
- Bennett WM, Porter GA. Cyclosporine-associated hypertension. *Am J Med*. 1988;85:131–133.
- Pham SM, Kormos RL, Kawai A, Murali S, Hattler BG, Demetris AJ, Griffith BP. Tacrolimus (FK 506) in clinical cardiac transplantation: a five-year experience. *Transplant Proc*. 1996;28:1002–1004.
- Rademacher J, Meiners M, Bramlage C, Kliem V, Behrend M, Schlitt HJ, Pichlmayr R, Koch KM, Brunkhorst R. Pronounced renal vasoconstriction and systemic hypertension in renal transplant patients treated with cyclosporin A versus FK 506. *Transpl Int*. 1998;11:3–10.
- Macleod AM, Thomson AW. FK 506: an immunosuppressant for the 1990s? *Lancet*. 1991;337:25–27.
- Reeves RA, Shapiro AP, Thompson ME, Johnsen AM. Loss of nocturnal decline in blood pressure after cardiac transplantation. *Circulation*. 1986;73:401–408.
- Wenting GJ, vd Meiracker AH, Simoons ML, Bos E, Ritsema v Eck HJ, Man in 't Veld AJ, Weimar W, Schalekamp MA. Circadian variation of heart rate but not of blood pressure after heart transplantation. *Transplant Proc*. 1987;19:2554–2555.
- Midtvedt K, Hartmann A, Holdaas H, Fauchald P. Efficacy of nifedipine or lisinopril in the treatment of hypertension after renal transplantation: a double-blind randomised comparative trial. *Clin Transplant*. 2001;15:426–431.
- Martinez-Castelao A, Hueso M, Sanz V, Rejas J, Alsina J, Grinyo JM. Treatment of hypertension after renal transplantation: long-term efficacy of verapamil, enalapril, and doxazosin. *Kidney Int Suppl*. 1998;68:S130–S134.
- Sennesael J, Lamote J, Violet I, Tasse S, Verbeelen D. Comparison of perindopril and amlodipine in cyclosporine-treated renal allograft recipients. *Hypertension*. 1995;26:436–444.
- Mourad G, Ribstein J, Mimran A. Converting-enzyme inhibitor versus calcium antagonist in cyclosporine-treated renal transplants. *Kidney Int*. 1993;43:419–425.
- Hausberg M, Barenbrock M, Hohage H, Muller S, Heidenreich S, Rahn KH. ACE inhibitor versus β -blocker for the treatment of hypertension in renal allograft recipients. *Hypertension*. 1999;33:862–868.
- Brozna SC, Johnson MR, Ventura H, Hobbs R, Miller L, Olivari MT, Clemson B, Bourge R, Quigg R, Mills RM Jr, Naftel D. Effectiveness and safety of diltiazem or lisinopril in treatment of hypertension after heart transplantation: results of a prospective, randomized multicenter trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1996;27:1707–1712.
- Schroeder JS, Gao SZ, Alderman EL, Hunt SA, Johnstone I, Boothroyd DB, Wiederhold V, Stinson EB. A preliminary study of diltiazem in the prevention of coronary artery disease in heart-transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;328:164–170.
- Baroletti SA, Gabardi S, Magee CC, Milford EL. Calcium channel blockers as the treatment of choice for hypertension in renal transplant recipients: fact or fiction. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2003;23:788–801.
- Singer DR, Markandu ND, Buckley MG, Miller MA, Sagnella GA, Lachno DR, Cappuccio FP, Munday A, Yacoub MH, MacGregor GA. Blood pressure and endocrine responses to changes in dietary sodium intake in cardiac transplant recipients: implications for the control of sodium balance. *Circulation*. 1994;89:1153–1159.
- Herzig K, Johnson DW. Marked elevation of blood cyclosporin and tacrolimus levels due to concurrent metronidazole therapy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 1999;14:521–523.
- Ballantyne CM, Corsini A, Davidson MH, Holdaas H, Jacobson TA, Leitersdorf E, Marz W, Reckless JP, Stein EA. Risk for myopathy with statin therapy in high-risk patients. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163:553–564.
- Kobashigawa J, Miller L, Renlund D, Mentzer R, Alderman E, Bourge R, Costanzo M, Eisen H, Dureau G, Ratkovec R, Hummel M, Ipe D, Johnson J, Keogh A, Mamelok R, Mancini D, Smart F, Valentine H. A randomized active-controlled trial of mycophenolate mofetil in heart transplant recipients: Mycophenolate Mofetil Investigators. *Transplantation*. 1998;66:507–515.
- Johnson MR. Transplant coronary disease: nonimmunologic risk factors. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 1992;11:S124–S132.
- Ballantyne CM, Radovancevic B, Farmer JA, Frazier OH, Chandler L, Payton-Ross C, Cocanougher B, Jones PH, Young JB, Gotto AM Jr. Hyperlipidemia after heart transplantation: report of a 6-year experience, with treatment recommendations. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1992;19:1315–1321.
- Akhlaghi F, Jackson CH, Parameshwar J, Sharples LD, Trull AK. Risk factors for the development and progression of dyslipidemia after heart transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2002;73:1258–1264.
- Eisen HJ, Tuzcu EM, Dorent R, Kobashigawa J, Mancini D, Valentine-von Kaeppler HA, Starling RC, Sorensen K, Hummel M, Lind JM, Abeywickrama KH, Bernhardt P. Everolimus for the prevention of allograft rejection and vasculopathy in cardiac-transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;349:847–858.
- Taylor DO, Barr ML, Meiser BM, Pham SM, Mentzer RM, Gass AL. Suggested guidelines for the use of tacrolimus in cardiac transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2001;20:734–738.
- Keogh A, Macdonald P, Kaan A, Aboyoun C, Spratt P, Mundy J. Efficacy and safety of pravastatin vs simvastatin after cardiac transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2000;19:529–537.
- Mehra MR, Uber PA, Vivekananthan K, Solis S, Scott RL, Park MH, Milani RV, Lavie CJ. Comparative beneficial effects of simvastatin and pravastatin

- on cardiac allograft rejection and survival. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002;40:1609–1614.
38. Jamal SM, Eisenberg MJ, Christopoulos S. Rhabdomyolysis associated with hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitors. *Am Heart J.* 2004;147:956–965.
 39. Montori VM, Basu A, Erwin PJ, Velosa JA, Gabriel SE, Kudva YC. Post-transplantation diabetes: a systematic review of the literature. *Diabetes Care.* 2002;25:583–592.
 40. Knoll GA, Bell RC. Tacrolimus versus cyclosporin for immunosuppression in renal transplantation: meta-analysis of randomised trials. *BMJ.* 1999;318:1104–1107.
 41. Moore R, Boucher A, Carter J, Kim SJ, Kiberd B, Loertscher R, Mongeau JG, Prasad GV, Vautour L. Diabetes mellitus in transplantation: 2002 consensus guidelines. *Transplant Proc.* 2003;35:1265–1270.
 42. Bailey CJ, Turner RC. Metformin. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;334:574–579.
 43. Zietse R, Balk AH, vd Dorpel MA, Meeter K, Bos E, Weimar W. Time course of the decline in renal function in cyclosporine-treated heart transplant recipients. *Am J Nephrol.* 1994;14:1–5.
 44. Goldstein DJ, Zuech N, Sehgal V, Weinberg AD, Drusin R, Cohen D. Cyclosporine-associated end-stage nephropathy after cardiac transplantation: incidence and progression. *Transplantation.* 1997;63:664–668.
 45. Shaddy RE, Hammond EH, Yowell RL. Immunohistochemical analysis of platelet-derived growth factor and basic fibroblast growth factor in cardiac biopsy and autopsy specimens of heart transplant patients. *Am J Cardiol.* 1996;77:1210–1215.
 46. Lurie KG, Billingham ME, Jamieson SW, Harrison DC, Reitz BA. Pathogenesis and prevention of graft arteriosclerosis in an experimental heart transplant model. *Transplantation.* 1981;31:41–47.
 47. Griep RB, Stinson EB, Bieber CP, Reitz BA, Copeland JG, Oyer PE, Shumway NE. Control of graft arteriosclerosis in human heart transplant recipients. *Surgery.* 1977;81:262–269.
 48. Billingham ME. Cardiac transplant atherosclerosis. *Transplant Proc.* 1987;19:19–25.
 49. de Lorgeril M, Boissonnat P, Bizollon C. Pharmacokinetics of cyclosporine in hyperlipidaemic long-term survivors of heart transplantation: lack of interaction with the lipid-lowering agent, fenofibrate. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1992;43:161–165.
 50. de Lorgeril M, Boissonnat P, Dureau G, Guidollet J, Renaud S. Evaluation of ticlopidine, a novel inhibitor of platelet aggregation, in heart transplant recipients. *Transplantation.* 1993;55:1195–1196.
 51. Uber PA, Mehra MR, Park MH, Scott RL. Clopidogrel and rhabdomyolysis after heart transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2003;22:107–108.
 52. Olsen SL, Renlund DG, O'Connell JB, Taylor DO, Lassetter JE, Eastburn TE, Hammond EH, Bristow MR. Prevention of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in cardiac transplant recipients by trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole. *Transplantation.* 1993;56:359–362.
 53. Dummer JS. *Pneumocystis carinii* infections in transplant recipients. *Semin Respir Infect.* 1990;5:50–57.
 54. Soave R. Prophylaxis strategies for solid-organ transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2001;33(suppl 1):S26–S31.
 55. Fox BC, Sollinger HW, Belzer FO, Maki DG. A prospective, randomized, double-blind study of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for prophylaxis of infection in renal transplantation: clinical efficacy, absorption of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, effects on the microflora, and the cost-benefit of prophylaxis. *Am J Med.* 1990;89:255–274.
 56. Herbrecht R, Denning DW, Patterson TF, Bennett JE, Greene RE, Oestmann JW, Kern WV, Marr KA, Ribaud P, Lortholary O, Sylvester R, Rubin RH, Wingard JR, Stark P, Durand C, Caillot D, Thiel E, Chandrasekar PH, Hodges MR, Schlamm HT, Troke PF, de Pauw B. Voriconazole versus amphotericin B for primary therapy of invasive aspergillosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347:408–415.
 57. Streblow DN, Kreklywich C, Yin Q, De La Melena VT, Corless CL, Smith PA, Brakebill C, Cook JW, Vink C, Bruggeman CA, Nelson JA, Orloff SL. Cytomegalovirus-mediated upregulation of chemokine expression correlates with the acceleration of chronic rejection in rat heart transplants. *J Virol.* 2003;77:2182–2194.
 58. Koskinen PK, Kallio EA, Tikkanen JM, Sihvola RK, Hayry PJ, Lemstrom KB. Cytomegalovirus infection and cardiac allograft vasculopathy. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 1999;1:115–126.
 59. Rubin R. Infection in the organ transplant recipient. In: Rubin RHYL, ed. *Clinical Approach to Infection in the Compromised Host.* 3rd ed. New York, NY: Plenum; 2003.
 60. Rand KH, Pollard RB, Merigan TC. Increased pulmonary superinfections in cardiac-transplant patients undergoing primary cytomegalovirus infection. *N Engl J Med.* 1978;298:951–953.
 61. Wagle AM, Biswas J, Gopal L, Madhavan HN. Clinical profile and immunological status of cytomegalovirus retinitis in organ transplant recipients. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2002;50:115–121.
 62. Egan JJ, Carroll KB, Yonan N, Woodcock A, Crisp A. Valacyclovir prevention of cytomegalovirus reactivation after heart transplantation: a randomized trial. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2002;21:460–466.
 63. Paniagua MJ, Crespo-Leiro MG, De la Fuente L, Tabuyo T, Mosquera I, Canizares A, Naya C, Farina P, Juffe A, Castro-Beiras A. Prevention of cytomegalovirus disease after heart transplantation: preemptive therapy with 7 days' intravenous ganciclovir. *Transplant Proc.* 2002;34:69–70.
 64. Kocher AA, Bonaros N, Dunkler D, Ehrlich M, Schlechta B, Zwegtick B, Grimm M, Zuckermann A, Wolner E, Laufer G. Long-term results of CMV hyperimmune globulin prophylaxis in 377 heart transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2003;22:250–257.
 65. Kahl LE, Thompson ME, Griffith BP. Gout in the heart transplant recipient: physiologic puzzle and therapeutic challenge. *Am J Med.* 1989;87:289–294.
 66. Clive DM. Renal transplant-associated hyperuricemia and gout. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2000;11:974–979.
 67. Cummins D, Sekar M, Halil O, Banner N. Myelosuppression associated with azathioprine-allopurinol interaction after heart and lung transplantation. *Transplantation.* 1996;61:1661–1662.
 68. Shane E, Mancini D, Aaronson K, Silverberg SJ, Seibel MJ, Adesso V, McMahon DJ. Bone mass, vitamin D deficiency, and hyperparathyroidism in congestive heart failure. *Am J Med.* 1997;103:197–207.
 69. Rodino MA, Shane E. Osteoporosis after organ transplantation. *Am J Med.* 1998;104:459–469.
 70. Shane E, Rivas MC, Silverberg SJ, Kim TS, Staron RB, Bilezikian JP. Osteoporosis after cardiac transplantation. *Am J Med.* 1993;94:257–264.
 71. Lee AH, Mull RL, Keenan GF, Callegari PE, Dalinka MK, Eisen HJ, Mancini DM, DiSesa VJ, Attie MF. Osteoporosis and bone morbidity in cardiac transplant recipients. *Am J Med.* 1994;96:35–41.
 72. Muchmore JS, Cooper DK, Ye Y, Schlegel T, Zuhdi N. Loss of vertebral bone density in heart transplant patients. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:1184–1185.
 73. Berguer DG, Krieg MA, Thiebaud D, Burckhardt P, Stumpe F, Humi M, Sadeghi H, Kappenberger L, Goy JJ. Osteoporosis in heart transplant recipients: a longitudinal study. *Transplant Proc.* 1994;26:2649–2651.
 74. Fan SL, Almond MK, Ball E, Evans K, Cunningham J. Pamidronate therapy as prevention of bone loss following renal transplantation. *Kidney Int.* 2000;57:684–690.
 75. Cohen S, Levy RM, Keller M, Boling E, Emkey RD, Greenwald M, Zizic TM, Wallach S, Sewell KL, Lukert BP, Axelrod DW, Chines AA. Risedronate therapy prevents corticosteroid-induced bone loss: a twelve-month, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1999;42:2309–2318.
 76. Valero MA, Loinaz C, Larrodera L, Leon M, Moreno E, Hawkins F. Calcitonin and bisphosphonates treatment in bone loss after liver transplantation. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 1995;57:15–19.
 77. Shane E, Adesso V, Namerow PB, McMahon DJ, Lo SH, Staron RB, Zuckerman M, Pardi S, Maybaum S, Mancini D. Alendronate versus calcitriol for the prevention of bone loss after cardiac transplantation. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350:767–776.
 78. Eastell R, Reid DM, Compston J, Cooper C, Fogelman I, Francis RM, Hosking DJ, Purdie DW, Ralston SH, Reeve J, Russell RG, Stevenson JC, Torgerson DJ. A UK Consensus Group on management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: an update. *J Intern Med.* 1998;244:271–292.
 79. Recommendations for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: American College of Rheumatology Task Force on Osteoporosis Guidelines. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1996;39:1791–1801.
 80. Dew MA, Kormos RL, DiMartini AF, Switzer GE, Schulberg HC, Roth LH, Griffith BP. Prevalence and risk of depression and anxiety-related disorders during the first three years after heart transplantation. *Psychosomatics.* 2001;42:300–313.
 81. Dobbels F, De Geest S, Cleemput JV, et al. Prevalence and correlates of depression after heart transplantation: a prospective, long-term study (>5 years post-HTX). *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2002;21:151. Abstract.

KEY WORDS: transplantation ■ drugs ■ immunology ■ rejection